

per day rate. This is ready for review by the Town's engineers. There was also about 300 feet of Orangeburg clay pipe replaced, that was part of the damaged sewer system that created many issues and violations. That ties into the septic and dry wells so the over flow issue might be remediated based on the flows going into the receptacles and the unhampered nature of the pipe leading into them.

Kathleen Lara – Jim, the DOH will tell them how many kids they can have, right? Jim Carnell – Their application should incorporate the number of students and staff there will be. The DOH does have a ratio. Kathleen Lara – We don't have to worry about that because they are going to regulate that, right? Jim Carnell – Yes, typically that is something the DOH takes care of.

Christina Cellini – You said bungalows 1 – 8 were going to be used as dormitories, do you know what the others will be used for? Howard Perez – They will be used as bungalows.

Chairman Sush - I saw on the plan where the drop off was going to be, and I wanted to ask what type of vehicle will be pulling in there? And how will they be getting out? Howard Perez – Typically it would be an ADA compliant van with a lift of some sort. They would be dropped off via that lift onto the paved destination area, either on the upper or lower level. The lower level would be more of a transfer from the upper level to the lower level to participate in the cafeteria or whatever is happening down there. Chairman Sush – Then how does the van get out? Howard Perez – It would have to back out. Chairman Sush – Into the road? Howard Perez – Correct. Jim Carnell – Just to bring it to the Board's attention, I know the 239 came in late today and if you didn't get a chance to review it, they really stress the traffic condition and the safety of that road on that turn. I think they have recommended relocating the entrance because of sight distance and are requesting that the Board request a traffic study. You can see in the picture Helen put up that the shoulder there off the County Road is kind of damaged from traffic going in and out so they are looking for plans that will demonstrate how vehicles are going to get off of the road and what improvements are going to be made in the County's right-of-way. I would say based off of the County's and DPW's comments, it would probably be best to look at that entrance location as I don't think they are amendable to traffic coming in and out there, right at the curve. Kathleen Lara – I'm just concerned because I don't know where this property could put another driveway. I don't know that any place is a good place. Matt Sickler – One of the other comments from DPW was that they were opposed to barriers on the right-of-way but they would perhaps consider an appropriately designed hand rail system, if it's recommended by the traffic consultant that does the site. Logan Morey – I do know there were steel columns that were installed in front of the Shul building because so many cars drove into the Shul, so unless they removed them, there were steel columns there. It's probably just a matter of the upper side where the two-story buildings are.

Kathleen Lara – I went by the site today and have 2 things. One, there were people staying there and parking along Old Liberty Road. I did see the majority of the parking was inside the fenced area but still some parking on the road. Also, I did not see a compactor and there was a mountain of garbage. I know it's the beginning of the season, but we are going to require one. Howard Perez – I am not aware of one being in use. Helen Budrock – One of my questions was going to be if they had a C/O yet because when I drove by, there were probably a dozen people standing outside, women with young children, and they appeared to be waiting for a bus. I was curious as to whether or not it was actually in operation as I know there were some significant safety violations and that's why it was shut down last summer.

Kathleen Lara – I just assumed so because there were so many cars there and it looks like people are living there. Michael Hoyt – In one of the photos from the County there is a bus stopped there, sitting diagonally across Old Liberty Road. Helen, can you pull up that photo? Helen Budrock – Yes. Kathleen Lara – When I drove by today there was a parking lot full of mini vans. Michael Hoyt – This picture is certainly not of a handicap accessible van; it is a full-size school bus and when your clients first came in this is what we were concerned about. That’s a school bus stopped right on a blind turn. Paula Kay – And the bus is not turning, they have completely stopped and blocked traffic. Howard Perez – I agree completely. They should not be able to stop on the curve and there is also an elevation change there of about 15 feet. Helen Budrock – I guess that’s the other thing, right, the parking in the right-of-way? Paula Kay – Well, again, let’s go back to the original question, who is there and under what circumstances? How habitable are these units? Is there a camp being ran right now? Howard Perez – To my knowledge they don’t have a C/O or permits for any of the work that has been done so they should not be occupying the site at all. Jim Carnell – Also just to refresh the Boards memory, when they first came to the Board, because of the conditions they were operating under last year, without any permits or approvals, I had the department request that the Town Board pass a resolution to seek a junctive action to stop them from occupying the facility. We did issue several permits to the property owner to mitigate some of those hazards, especially the electrical, so they did have an electrical contractor come in. They were basically running on generators and live fed wires running along the ground supplying through windows and thing of that nature. I know they put in a permanent concrete vault on site and did get a NYSEG to energize and all of that work was inspected. I don’t know the physical condition of all the buildings, but there were a couple that were certainly in question as far as the structural integrity and again the use. I don’t know who is occupying what at this point but we did not give them permission to do so. We only issued permits for repairs. Logan Morey – I went out there with the Health Dept. and did a full walkthrough. They have attained permits for the electrical work and only one small portion of the 31 building was an issue. I see a guy on the zoom call by the name Mike, is the Mike from the project? Howard Perez – I don’t think he is here tonight. Logan Morey – I am wondering if the DOH has given them a permit. Helen Budrock – I guess that doesn’t answer the question as to whether or not the Building Dept. has issued a C/O. Do you know to your knowledge? Logan Morey – The only things that would get a change of use C/O would be the basement of the Shul and the upper floors that will be containing dormitories. The other buildings that are and/or were originally bungalows could still be used as bungalows, minus the ones they converted 2 into 1. Paula Kay – We need to ascertain what’s going on in those units, right? Logan Morey – I did a full walkthrough already. Paula Kay – Are there people living there? Logan Morey – I was there before. If there are a lot of boys there, then they are using it as a school. If there are a lot of families, then we would need more information. Paula Kay – Do you remember if you were there before the 8th which is when these photos are dated? Logan Morey – Yes, I was there before anybody was there, but if there is a bus there, I would assume they are having campers. Paula Kay – Logan, I would like the applicant to take photos of the units that were converted and obviously, if there are mattresses, beds or other indications then that’s a different issue. Logan Morey – There are mattresses and beds for sure and when I say converted, it’s literally just a door way. It’s more of a paperwork issue, then a structural issue. Howard Perez – It was my understanding that the owner was going to return those openings back to the condition they were originally in. There were not going to turn 2 into 1 as I told them they needed to separate them back to their original condition to facilitate the Board and public’s opinion of their work. I don’t know what has change, but they should have done that already as it’s been 4 weeks already since I told them to do this. Logan Morey – No, I was

there approximately around the 29th of June and it wasn't done. We had a whole discussion on what needed to be done to be code compliant. It was my interpretation that they were going to keep it as a separated unit. Howard Perez – I'm not familiar with those conditions. I've walked through them and seen them. But as far as the remedial and what they plan to do, I have no idea. Helen Budrock – Maybe a joint walkthrough with the Building Dept., the applicant and the applicant's architect is the best course of action and then we can figure out what the next step is. Perhaps we should leave the public hearing open. That way if there are some new developments, the public has a chance to comment. Logan Morey – Do we need to leave the public hearing open as the request was for change in use only? Paula Kay – That is what we have done on other projects. Chairman Sush – We still have a lot of questions to be answered and maybe the public would like to comment on some of those. Paula Kay – We can leave the public hearing open until the next meeting, do the joint walkthrough and go from there. Arthur Knapp – I agree. We need current, accurate information before we can act on anything.

Chairman Sush opened meeting to public comment.

No public comment at this time.

A motion to engage a traffic consultant was made by Michael Hoyt and second by Kathleen Lara. All in favor, 0 opposed.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

BIN CHEN

Golden Ridge Road, Monticello, NY
Glenn Smith, Project engineer
Michael Davidoff, Project attorney

Glen Smith – To refresh your memory this is the property on Golden Ridge Road, located behind the McDonald's over here on 42. It's a 5.7-acre parcel in the HC-2 zone and Bin is looking to put a 600 square foot, 1 story commercial building here. It will have 2 retail stores and a takeout restaurant. I imagine you have seen the new site plan submitted. The original plan had a big parking lot at the lower part of the property with some parking around the building. We have since changed that and the majority of the parking will now be in the front of the building and some in the back. There will be a one-way entrance off of Golden Ridge up here and the main entrance will be down at the lower end. There's about a 100-foot NYSEG right-of-way on the property and obviously no structure can be in that. I did a landscaping plan, you can't plant trees in that right-of-way due to power lines, but there are some trees and a quite a bit of shrubs and other small plants. There is an 8-inch water line that runs along Golden Ridge that serves the Golden Ridge apartments further down the road and the intent is to tap into that line. The property is in the Town's sewer district and there is a gravity line that runs to a local pump station and a forced line that come back to the property. I have already spoken to the W/S superintendent and there is an option to tie into either line. Obviously, it makes more sense to tie into the gravity line, but we will get that straightened up with the W/S dept. My point is that both Town water and sewer serves the property. The only thing not finished yet is stormwater plan, which is required because we will be serving more than 1

acre. The whole property slopes in the southern direction to a swampy area at the back of the property so we will be putting a bioretention area down there and the SWPP will have to be finished up for further review. I don't know what we can get as we are on as a discussion item tonight, but there are a few things we will need and would like to get going. One is we need the Board to declare lead agency as it is an unlisted action, we need a 239 review because the property is so close to the state highway and a public hearing to get the public involved to see if anyone has any issues.

Glen Smith – Any questions on the update site plan? – Chairman Sush – No, I like the changes. I like that the entrance does not line up with the hotel's entrance and you're not using that curve. Kathleen Lara – I also like the changes.

Helen Budrock – I believe the Chairman can take the agenda out of order, right Paula? Paula Kay – Your rules are your rules, but if the board decides to take action tonight on these de minimis things, such as public hearing and lead agency you can, but should agree to do so on all projects going forward. Chairman Sush – Do you think it will be helpful for the next meeting if we request the 239 tonight? Paula Kay – Yes. Chairman Sush – Okay, so maybe we do 239 and public hearing this meeting and leave lead agency for the next meeting. Paula Kay – I am okay with that and think it could help move all future applications along a little faster as well. Michael Davidoff – We have a time of the essence situation here. The closing for this property is going to be the first week or two in September, so I request you could schedule the public hearing as soon as possible. Paula Kay – That is what we were just discussing. Helen Budrock – Is there enough time to do it for the next meeting? Jim Carnell – No, it would have had to be published today. Helen Budrock – I think August 10th could be the earliest the Board would be prepared to schedule the public hearing. Michael Davidoff – That would be fine. Glenn Smith – Would the board be able to act the day of the public hearing if there were no public comments or any other concerns? Paula Kay – You could do that. We can put it on for both public hearing and action for that meeting and if there are no comments then the board can act. Helen Budrock – Paula, it's an unlisted action as it's a relatively small project and there are other agency approvals required but I believe they are non-discretionary, so does the Board have to declare their intent to serve as lead agency or can they just do the lead agency declaration when they are ready? Paula Kay – No circulation is required. You should be fine if the 30 days for the county goes out tomorrow. Glenn Smith – I can do that, right? Paula Kay – We will discuss that tomorrow and go over the process. If you can get the 239 back by the August 10th meeting, you can have the public hearing and action item on the same agenda. Glenn Smith – I will.

A motion to request 239 was made by Kathleen Lara and second by Christina Cellini.
All in favor, 0 opposed.

A motion to schedule public hearing on August 10, 2022 was made by Michael Hoyt and second by Arthur Knapp.
All in favor, 0 opposed.

*A motion was revised the scheduling of the public hearing from August 10, 2022 to July 27, 2022, as long as the public notice can be submitted/published in time, was made by Kathleen Lara and second by Michael Hoyt.
All in favor, 0 opposed.

Chairman Sush – Before we start on the action items, I am going to ask for a motion to go into an executive session with our attorney to discuss threatened and/or pending litigation.

A motion to enter the executive session was made by Michael Hoyt and second by Kathleen Lara. All in favor, 0 opposed.

A motion to end the executive session was made by Arthur Knapp and second by Kathleen Lara. All in favor, 0 opposed.

ACTION ITEMS

BINYAN UVIOS

334 South Maplewood Road, Monticello, NY
Joel Kohn, Project representative

Joel Kohn – This is for the school building on 17B and we are here tonight to get a public hearing scheduled for the next meeting.

Paula Kay – Same issue here as the prior project, Bin Chen. It will have to be for August 10th meeting as there is not enough time to publish the legal notice. Joel Kohn – This wasn't prepared yet? Paula Kay – Laura, you didn't send this to the democrat yet, did you? Laura Eppers – I prepared the legal notices but they were not sent out yet. Paula Kay – So, they are ready to go. Laura Eppers – Yes. Paula Kay – Okay, but it won't make the democrat for this Friday. Logan Morey – We can reach out to them and sometimes they will run it as an add. Paula Kay – Okay, so we can do that for the three actions that are on tonight's agenda, to schedule public hearing, and for Bin Chen as well and we will then be able to hold the public hearings at the next meeting, on the 27th. Chairman Sush – Do we need to change the motion for the Bin Chen public hearing since the date is changing? Paula Kay – Yes.

Jim Carnell – Where were any changes made? Joel Kohn – No changes, it is all the same. Sometime before the next meeting, I am hoping to send an email with the traffic study as a motion was made last meeting to engage a traffic consultant.

*A motion to revise Bin Chen's public hearing motion was made. (See minutes for that project)

A motion to schedule a public hearing for July 27, 2022, as long as the public notice can be submitted/published in time, or August 10, 2022, if not, was made by Kathleen Lara and second by second by Michael Hoyt. All in favor, 0 opposed.

100 SEYMOUR HIRSCHMAN ROAD LLC

100 Seymour Hirschman Road, Monticello, NY
Joel Kohn, Project representative

Joel Kohn – This project is a proposed school and requires a change in use. This project does not require a public hearing but the Board asked for one at the last meeting so we are here tonight to schedule a public hearing.

Jim Carnell – Any changes here. Joel Kohn - Last meeting Mike Messenger’s email in regards to the sewer flow was brought up and I didn’t have the answer at the time, but we now know it is projected to be about 10,000 gallons per day at maximum. Matt Sickler – Okay. Joel Kohn – I guess we’ll have to work it out and see what we can schedule with the pump station to see if it is capable of handling this. Matt Sickler – Okay, I will discuss this with Mike. Jim Carnell – Who’s pumpstation is it? – Matt Sickler – It is the Town’s. Jim Carnell – Okay, so Mike will have all the information we need. Matt Sickler – Yes and we will test the flows and make sure there are no issues there. Kathleen Lara – Sounds good.

A motion to schedule a public hearing for July 27, 2022, as long as the public notice can be submitted/published in time, or August 10, 2022, if not, was made by Kathleen Lara and second by second by Arthur Knapp.

All in favor, 0 opposed.

155 HEIDEN ROAD

155 Heiden Road, Monticello, NY
Hayden Carnell, Project representative

Jim Carnell and Arthur Knapp was recused.

Logan Morey as building inspector.

Hayden Carnell – We were last in front of you back in May and back tonight to schedule a public hearing. This property has an existing use site plan that was approved in 2006/2007 to change use from commercial to residential. We are now requesting the use be changed back to commercia so they can lease or rent the buildings. We took the 2-site plans and the survey done in 2011, that had a lot line change, and put it all on one map with an aerial. The site is not paved, its gravel, and we show 18 parking spaces. There’s no code for warehouse, it’s just based on employees and there should be adequate spaces. Any questions?

Chairman Sush – I recall that we wanted to determine what would be stored outside and where. Do you know that yet? Hayden Carnell – Most of the area around the building is gravel. We made an area designated for outside storage and one of the buildings will be a contractor, so he will have concrete forms that he will be storing outside as well. Helen Budrock – Chairman, in my notes I show in addition to details on the parking and outdoor storage, the Board had also requested an operation plan. So maybe that’s something that can be written up before the next meeting? Hayden Carnell – We have asked the owner to provide a business plan. Helen Budrock – A business plan is different from an operations plan. An operations plan is more like who would be coming and going and at what times. Hayden Carnell – Understood. Helen Budrock – Is there any other detail, besides the operations plan, the Board is looking for? Matt Sickler – Besides the hours people would be coming, will you be adding any exterior lighting to illuminate the outside storage areas at night? Hayden Carnell – I believe they

purchased the house in front and own both properties. Paula Kay – Just submit a brief narrative a week before the public hearing so it can be dispatched and reviewed prior.

Paula Kay – We are working on public hearings for the 27th. Kathleen Lara – Hayden, do you want to be on for July? Hayden Carnell – I won't be here next week to do the mailings so I would like to be on for August.

A motion to schedule a public hearing for August 10, 2022 was made by Kathleen and second by Michael Hoyt.

All in favor, 0 opposed.

ICHUD HATALMIDIM – TEMPORARY MEICAL TRAILER

240 Forestburgh Road, Monticello, NY

Tim Gottlieb, project engineer

Rabbi Schwartz, property owner

Helen Budrock – This is the first time the Board is seeing this. It is on as an action item because it is relatively straight forward.

Tim Gottlieb – This is a 10 x 4 temporary medical trailer and the water and sewer are connected to one of the adjacent units.

Paula Kay – Why is the trailer temporary? Rabbi Schwartz – There are a lot of children on the premises, and a lot of people were requesting if we could bring in a doctor for a few hours a day. I just wanted to get a temporary trailer for this year to see if it works out and if it does, we can look into something permanent. When we asked the doctor to come down, we told him it would just be for the children on the premises and that we didn't want to provide service to anyone outside, but without permission he put signs on the road. I got a call from Logan and we made sure we took them down off of our property, I think within the hour.

Chairman Sush – Would you remove the trailer at the end of the season? Rabbi Schwartz – Yes. Kathleen Lara – We can treat this like other temporary trailers and give a certain date the trailer needs to be removed by. I'm not sure if we need a bond or not, but we can figure that out, and if they want to make this a permanent thing, then they come back to make it a permanent part of their site plan. Paula Kay – Correct and we have asked for bond for trailers before. You can do a removal bond to be determined by Matt in conjunction with Jay. Matt Sickler – Sure thing. Jim Carnell – Just to refresh the Board's memory, other requests we got for temporary, the golf course and another project on for tonight, I believe the bond was set for \$3,000.00.

Arthur Knapp – Rabbi, how are you taking care of medical waste? Rabbi Schwartz – Do you mean like needles or something? Helen Budrock – Red bag waste, correct? Arthur Knapp – Correct. Paula Kay – Do you or the doctor have something in place to have it removed on a regular basis? Rabbi Schwartz – Yes, inside the doctor has a container set up. Paula Kay – Can you provide that to the Board and what company you have doing the removal? Rabbi Schwartz – Okay. No problem.

Chairman Sush – We need a motion with the following conditions: determination of removal bond amount, record of how medical waste will be handled/removed and date trailer is to be removed by. Paula Kay – Does the end of September work Rabbi? Rabbi Schwartz – Yes.

A motion to approval conditional, temporary modification to an existing site plan was made by Michael Hoyt and second by Kathleen Lara.
All in favor, 0 opposed.

ARGENIO BROS. CONSTRUCTION – OFFICE TRAILER

Murran Road, Monticello, NY
Al Bockemuhl, Project representative

Al Bockemuhl – Argenio Brother’s is performing a highway improvement project for the county that involves the village and state. We applied for a building permit for a temporary office trailer and we have been direct to you. This project is scheduled to begin now and will extend, at least, to the end of September 2023. I anticipate that it may linger a couple weeks past that, but definitely done by the end of October of 2023.

Helen Budrock – This is the East Broadway reconstruction, right? Al Bockemuhl – Yes. Jim Carnell – Is it from Pleasant Street all the way down. Al Bockemuhl – I’m still learning the streets, but it will be from Route 42 all the way to the intersection where the Mobile station is. Kathleen Lara – That will be nice as it is desperately needed.

Paula Kay – Where exactly will the trailer be? Al Bockemuhl – I have the S/B/L. Jim Carnell – It’s where the Quickway Metal Facility was, down by the round-a-bout, where the Casino was staged.

Chairman Sush – Is this site going to be for storage only or will there be construction machines going in and out, where you would need a true construction entrance, or would it be like an out in the morning and back in at the end of the day thing? Al Bockemuhl – That is correct and the site is pretty much stabilized now, as it had been done previously. It will be pickup trucks and rubber-tired vehicles, but nothing beyond that. We may at times park a piece of equipment there, but it will only be parked. Chairman Sush – The application says aggregate storage, so will this be for removed debris waiting to get reused or salvaged. Al Bockemuhl – Yes, as well as new pipe and concrete structures. We have to have a place to be able to store this stuff off of the road. Michael Hoyt – Will that be a smooth ride from the site to Broadway. Al Bockemuhl – Guaranteed.

Chairman Sush – When you are finished at the end of next year, will you be restoring to the condition it is in now? Al Bockemuhl – Absolutely. Helen Budrock – Hopefully better as I think that is an issue the Town had with the last project. I believe it wasn’t really cleaned up to 100% there. Al Bockemuhl – I think I might agree with that as there is stuff there now. Helen Budrock – Right, so it would be great to see it cleaned up 100% because that location is right at one of the gateways into the town.

Jim Carnell – Aside from the actual site plan, will there be a temporary shutdown plan for the winter? Al Bockemuhl – Yes. There’s a provision for winter condition work, which we generally don’t choose because of frostbite, but there will be a shutdown with small activity. I’ll probably be there daily through the winter.

Jim Carnell – Will there be some sort of security fence? Al Bockemuhl – I wasn't going to put a security fence because the same stuff with also exist out on the job, at some point, without any security. I also didn't plan to put any lights up as to not cause any nuisance.

Christina Cellini – How tall will things be stacked? Al Bockemuhl – I would say lower than the pile of dirt that is there now. Approximately 15 feet.

Kathleen Lara – Same thing here with the bond? Paula Kay – yes. Al Bockemuhl – Ho much will the bond be? Matt Sickler – You will prepare an estimate for a cost of the project, send that to me for review and I will let you know.

Chairman Sush – We need a motion for conditional site plan approval with the conditions being the removal bond, site cleanup to its original state if not better and removal date for the end of November 2023.

A motion for conditional site plan approval was made by Kathleen Lara and second by Arthur Knapp. All in favor, 0 opposed.

AVON PARK

Rock Hill Drive, Rock Hill, NY
George Duke, Project attorney
Glen Smith, Project engineer
Steve Vegliante, Project Representative

Paula Kay, Jim Carnell and Christina Cellini were recused.

Larry Wolinsky as consulting town attorney and Logan Morey as building inspector.

George Duke – At the last meeting we attended there were some technical details that the Board had requested that Glenn/Keystone prepare. With that being said, I will turn it over to Glenn. Glenn Smith – We provided additional plans showing the sewer/water system layout, sewer profiles and pump system details. Logan has reviewed these and made some suggestions, adding more signage for the fire exits/lanes and giving a little more detail on where the Siamese connections are to the building for fire hose connection, hydrant service and the bio retention area. We did get a chance to respond to these comments, but it was last minute and not sure if you got to see it. We got Matt's comments as well, which will be easy to address and we will do so.

Matt Sickler – The comments I had basically just pertained to details with construction. The fire hydrant location and the town would like to see a cam lock connection on the pump station so if we need to hook up an emergency bypass, it's already there. It's just small details like that so I don't know that response to my comments will change the layout or plan configuration.

Larry Wolinsky – Yesterday I forwarded you guys an updated version of the conditional resolution. Since then, I have updated it one more time just to add today's most recent revision data to the site plan and also to make sure any addition to any technical comments, from the building dept. and our engineer, were added in there. With that we are ready for any questions the Board may have regarding the

resolution and to take whatever action it wishes with respect there to. Kathleen Lara – I appreciated the way it was written as it tells the story not only to us but to the public as well and in layman’s terms.

Michael Hoyt – Did we hear from the fire department? Glenn Smith – We did early on and address their comments. I think just Logan’s comments on the Siamese connections and the fire hydrant water lines needs to be addressed. She also mentioned getting the fire department’s blessing but we did address their concerns early on. Michael Hoyt – Did we hear back from them Logan? Logan Morey – I haven’t heard from Brian in a while. I did send him stuff early on and I’m not sure if he’s keeping up on the Google Drive or not. I have not had any direct response from him. Larry Wolinsky – If you’d like, I can certainly add that to the list of technical comment to be satisfied. Kathleen Lara – Yes please. Larry Wolinsky – It will be added. With that, the Board is all reviewed and if it has no further question, it is free to move forward or not. It’s up to the Board at this point.

A motion to approval conditional site plan and special use permit was made by Kathleen Lara and second by Arthur Knapp.

All in favor: Kathleen Lara, Arthur Knapp, Michael Hoyt and Matthew Sush; 0 opposed.

ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION

Board brought up that they would like to be able to review/discuss old project that have not followed through with the conditions of the conditional approvals. Paula Kay advised that they can not discuss the project without the applicant(s)/property owner(s) being present and suggested that we add a section to our agenda for prior approvals/enforcement and use this section for projects the Board wishes to bring back. We can then invite that project to the next meeting and put it on the next agenda. If they choose to show up, the Board will then be able to discuss their concerns.

The Board has requested we invite Keren Hatorah back to the next meeting on July 27, 2022.

Motion to adjourn the meeting was made by Arthur Knapp and second by Kathleen Lara.

Respectfully submitted,

Laura Eppers,
Secretary
Town of Thompson Planning Board