

EXHIBIT C

Supplemental: Alternative Candidates (REVISED)

Several candidates were considered throughout the process of developing the Louise Marie ring including:

- A. 41.824408° -74.808462° (Coral Run Road 1.522 AMSL) this was RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, existing structure too low.
- B. 41.823233° -74.808462° (General Plaza Water Tank 1.463 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, ground elevation and structure too low.
- C. 41.820029° -74.808462° (Caldern Assoc. New Tower 1.808 AMSL) RF Approved PRIMARY at 150' AGL.
- D. 41.824061° -74.808462° (Caldern Run New Tower 1.623 AMSL) RF Approved as alternate candidate, LL not responsive, location sparsely covering property, location specifically.
- E. 41.819361° -74.819361° (Emerald Green Lake Lodge Marie Water Co 1.598 AMSL) RF Approved as alternate candidate, LL will develop property, location specifically.
- F. 41.818270° -74.808462° (Town of Thompson Bower Run New Tower 1.600 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, AMSL too low.
- G. 41.818630° -74.808462° (Discovery Center New Tower 1.541 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, existing structure too low.
- H. 41.817208° -74.808462° (Discovery Center New Tower 1.541 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, existing structure too low.
- I. 41.824408° -74.808462° (Ramada New Tower 1.605 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, too low, outside SA; too close to existing site.
- J. 41.820029° -74.808462° (Holiday Inn New Tower 1.581 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, too low, outside SA; too close to existing site.
- K. 41.805041° -74.808462° (Gas Station Exit 11 New Tower 1.646 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, outside SA; too close to existing site.
- L. 41.808085° -74.808462° (Wasserman Lake Club 81.527 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, outside SA; too close to existing site.
- M. 41.825887° -74.808462° (Wasserman Lake Club N 801 AMSL) RF Rejected due to inadequate coverage, outside SA; not properly situated.

Candidates A-M were present and considered during the site selection process. Of these RF Approved PRIMARY candidate, there is a better fit, and the site is more accessible. However, it was not chosen for the following reasons: the property was owned by the State of New York, and the site was not available for development. The site was not available for development.